Case 3:13-cr-00606-WHQ Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 13 - CR - 606 WHO
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE FFEDE TO AL ACT
Speedy Trial Act from \0-9-\3, 2013 to \0-	PICHARD W. WIEKING CLERKUS DISTRICT COURT CLE
Failure to grant a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 0913	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Carrie Microsense Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney